

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/759,527	01/16/2001	Joseph M. Cannon	20-142	.2125	
7590 12/05/2003			EXAMINER		
Farkas & Manelli, PLLC			CRAVER, CHARLES R		
7th Floor 2000 M Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20036-3307			2682		
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 09/759,527

Applicant(s)

Cannon et al

2682

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Charles Craver Art Unit

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	et with	the correspondence address		
	or Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If NO p - Failure - Any rep	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) M he application to become	MONTHS from ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			· ·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) 1-11, 13, and 14					
7) 💢	Claim(s) 12 and 15			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are s	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ▼ The drawing(s) filed on						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a	a) 🗆 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	in App	lication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 17	'.2(a)).	_		
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachme		priority under 3	3 0.3.0	2. 33 120 and/or 121.		
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sume	mary (PTO	-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/759527 Page 2

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9-11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Harris, US Pat 6,580,372.

Claims 1 and 2: Harris discloses a wireless BLUETOOTH piconet device 105 with a BLUETOOTH front-end and a connected GPS receiver (col 1 lines 65-67, col 4 lines 17-37).

Claims 4, 13 and 14: Harris discloses a wireless piconet server (310) comprising a wireless piconet front end, a module (312) for determining authority of GPS locations received from a mobile station to communicate with a network and comparing said location to boundary coordinates corresponding to a predetermined secure area for access to said network (col 4 lines 18-63). Claim 5: Harris discloses BLUETOOTH (col 4 lines 25-27).

Application/Control Number: 09/759527 Page 3

Art Unit: 2682

Claims 9 and 11: claim 11, and thus claim 9, discloses the inherent method performed by the server of claim 4, and as such is rejected for the same reasons set forth above. Claim 10: Harris discloses determining if the GPS location is within the area delineated by the coordinates (col 4 lines 28-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Vaisanen et al, US Pat 6,560,443.

While disclosing applicant's invention of claim 1 above, Harris fails to disclose a password entry module. However, Vaisanen discloses that password encryption increases security in a BLUETOOTH device (col 2 lines 13-39), so it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add security to the invention of Harris by adding password authorization as suggested by Vaisanen.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris as applied to claim 4 above and further in view of Vaisanen et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/759527 Page 4

Art Unit: 2682

Please see the rejection of claim 3 above.

6. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris as applied to claim 4 above.

Claim 7: since the server is a part of a communications network (connected to a mobile network for calls), other such piconet servers would be connected via the network. While Harris fails to disclose synchronization, such a step would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to update a location (e.g. like an HLR/VLR) in the system using the standard method in a cellular system. Claim 8: such an update would inherently occur when the phone updates the location.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 12 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 12 and 15 teach towards a method and system for authorizing a mobile device in a piconet, wherein earth coordinates are received at a BS from the MS and compared to area coordinates in order to allow the two devices to communicate, wherein further the MS has the means to send a password to the network device and the BS has means to compare it to a list of

Application/Control Number: 09/759527

Page 5

Art Unit: 2682

passwords, and only allows the device to communicate on the network only when the area matches and the password matches.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Jenkins, Banatre, Roundtree, Lehikoinen, Uehara, Gutowski and Parupudi discuss means for location-based service.

Goldberg discusses locating a user.

Kuwahara discusses means to create a custom area via vector coordinates and compare it with a mobile station's GPS location.

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 872-9314 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2682

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, sixth floor (receptionist).

Page 6

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Craver whose telephone number is (703) 305-3965.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin, can be reached on (703) 308-6739.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

cc

C. Craver November 26, 2003 CHARLES CRAVER
PATENT EXAMINER